

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND RESEARCHES ON PROJECTIVE RELATION OF TWO SUBCLASSES OF (α, β) -METRICS

Pradeep Kumar^{*1}, S.K. Narasimhmurthy², M Ramesha³ & Madhu T S⁴

^{*1&4}Department of Mathematics, Acharya Institute of Technology, Soladevanhalli, Bengaluru-560107, Karnataka, INDIA

²Department of P.G. Studies and Research in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta-577 451, Shivamoga, Karnataka, INDIA

³Department of Mathematics, Jain University, Jakkasandra Post, Ramanagara District, Bengaluru-562112, Karnataka, INDIA

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we find the necessary and sufficient condition to characterize the projective relation between two subclasses of (α, β) -metrics $L = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ and $\bar{L} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ on a manifold M with dimension $n \geq 3$, where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero 1-forms.

Keywords: Finsler space, (α, β) metric, Kropina metric, Projective change, Douglas metric.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 53B40, 53C60

I. INTRODUCTION

In Finsler geometry, two Finsler metrics F and \bar{F} on a manifold M are called projectively related if $G^i = \bar{G}^i + P y^i$, where G^i and \bar{G}^i are the geodesic coefficients of F and \bar{F} respectively and $P = P(x, y)$ is a scalar function on the slit tangent bundle TM_0 . In this case, any geodesic of the first is also geodesic for the second and viceversa. The projective changes between two Finsler spaces have been studied by [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [11],[13],[14], [18], [19], [20].

(α, β) -metrics form a special and very important classes of Finsler metrics which can be expressed in the form $F = \alpha\varphi(s)$; $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, where α is a Riemannian metric and β is a 1-form and φ is a C^∞ positive function on the definite domain. In particular, when $\varphi = \frac{1}{s}$, the Finsler metric $F = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ is called Kropina metric. Kropina metric was first introduced by L. Berwald in connection with two dimensional Finsler space with rectilinear extremal and was investigated by V.K. Kropina [7]. They together with Randers metric are C-reducible [10]. However, Randers metric are regular Finsler metric but Kropina metric is non-regular Finsler metric. Kropina metric seem to be among the simplest nontrivial Finsler metric with many interesting applications in physics, electron optics with a magnetic field, dissipative mechanics and irreversible thermodynamics [5], [15]. Also, there are interesting applications in relativistic field theory, evolution and developmental biology.

Based on Stavrino's work on Finslerian structure of anisotropic gravitational field [16], we know that the anisotropy is an issue of the background radiation for all possible (α, β) -metrics. Then the 1-form β represents the same direction of the observed anisotropy of the microwave background radiation. That is, if two (α, β) -metrics $F = \alpha\varphi\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$ and $\bar{F} = \bar{\alpha}\varphi\left(\frac{\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}}\right)$ are the same anisotropy directions (or, they have the same axis rotation to their indicatrices), then their 1-form.

β and $\bar{\beta}$ are collinear, there is a function $\mu \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\beta(x, y) = \mu\bar{\beta}(x, y)$. By [3], for the projective equivalence between a general (α, β) -metric and a Kropina metric, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. Let $F = \alpha\varphi\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$ be an (α, β) -metric on n -dimensional manifold $M(n \geq 3)$, satisfying that β is not parallel with respect to $\alpha, db \neq 0$ everywhere (or) $b = \text{constant}$ and F is not of Randers type. Let $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric on the manifold M , where $\bar{\alpha} = \lambda(x)\alpha$ and $\bar{\beta} = \mu(x)\beta$. Then F is Projectively Equivalent to \bar{F} if and only if the following equations holds,

$$[1 + (k_1 + k_2s^2)s^2 + k_3s^2]\varphi'' = (k_1 + k_2s^2)(\varphi - s\varphi'), \tag{1.1}$$

$$G_{\alpha}^i = \bar{G}_{\alpha}^i + \theta y^i - \sigma(k_1\alpha^2 + k_2\beta^2)b^i, \tag{1.2}$$

$$b_{ij} = 2\sigma[(1 + k_1b^2)a_{ij} + (k_2b^2 + k_3)b_ib_j], \tag{1.3}$$

$$\bar{s}_{ij} = \frac{1}{b^2}(\bar{b}_i\bar{s}_j - \bar{b}_j\bar{s}_i), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ is a scalar function and θ is 1-form, k_1, k_2, k_3 are constants. In this case, both $F = \alpha\varphi\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$ and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ are Douglas metrics.

The purpose of this paper is to study the projective relation of two subclasses of (α, β) -metric. The main results of the paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ be an (α, β) -metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric on an n -dimensional manifold $M(n \geq 3)$ where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero 1-forms. Then F is projectively equivalent to \bar{F} if and only if they are Douglas metrics and the geodesic co-efficient of α and $\bar{\alpha}$ have the following relations

$$G_{\alpha}^i - 2\alpha^2 \tau b^i = \bar{G}_{\alpha}^i + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00} \bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i, \tag{1.5}$$

Where $b^i = a^{ij}b_j, \bar{b}^i = \bar{\alpha}^{ij}\bar{b}_j, \bar{b}^2 = \|\bar{\beta}\|_{\bar{\alpha}}^2$ and $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a scalar function and $\theta = \theta_i y^i$ is a 1-form on M

By [8] and [9], we obtain immediately from theorem (1.1), that

Proposition 1. Let $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ an (α, β) -metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric on a n -dimensional manifold $M(n \geq 3)$ where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two nonzero collinear 1-forms. Then F is projectively equivalent to \bar{F} if and only if the following equations hold:

$$G_{\alpha}^i - 2\alpha^2 \tau b^i = \bar{G}_{\alpha}^i + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00} \bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i, \tag{1.6}$$

$$b_{ij} = 2\tau\{(1 - 2b^2)a_{ij} + 3b_ib_j\}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\bar{s}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2}(\bar{b}_i\bar{s}_j - \bar{b}_j\bar{s}_i), \tag{1.8}$$

where b_{ij} denote the coefficient of the covariant derivatives of β with respect to α .

II. PRELIMINARIES

We say that a Finsler metric is projectively related to another Finsler metric if they have the same geodesic as point sets. In Riemannian geometry, two Riemannian metrics α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are projectively related if and only if their spray coefficients have the relation [2],

$$G_{\alpha}^i = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + \lambda_{x^k} y^k y^i, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(x)$ is a scalar function on the based manifold and (x^i, y^i) denotes the local coordinates in the tangent bundle TM .

Two Finsler metrics F and \bar{F} on a manifold M are called projectively related if and only if their spray coefficients have the relation [2],

$$G^i = \bar{G}^i + P(y)y^i \tag{2.2}$$

where $P(y)$ is a scalar function on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ and homogeneous of degree one in y .

For a given Finsler metric $L = L(x, y)$, the geodesic of L satisfy the following ODE:

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right) = 0,$$

Where $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ is called the geodesic coefficient, which is given by

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \{ [F^2]_{x^m y^l} y^m - [F^2]_{x^l} \}.$$

Let $\varphi = \varphi(s)$, $|s| < b_0$, be a positive C^∞ function satisfying the following

$$\varphi(s) - s\varphi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\varphi''(s) > 0, \quad (|s| \leq b < b_0). \quad (2.3)$$

If $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i y^i$ is 1-form satisfying $\|\beta_x\|_\alpha < b_0 \forall x \in M$, then $F = \alpha\varphi(s)$, $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, is called an (regular) (α, β) -metric. In this case, the fundamental form of the metric tensor induced by F is positive definite.

Let $\nabla\beta = b_{i|j} dx^i \otimes dx^j$ be covariant derivative of β with respect to α .

Denote

$$r_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}); \quad s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}).$$

Note that β is closed if and only if $s_{ij} = 0$ [17].

Let $s_j = b^i s_{ij}$, $s_j^i = a^{il} s_{lj}$, $s_0 = s_i y^i$, $s_0^i = s_j^i y^j$ and $r_{00} = r_{ij} y^i y^j$.

The relation between the geodesic coefficients G^i of F and geodesic coefficients G_α^i of α is given by

$$G^i = G_\alpha^i + \alpha Q s_0^i \{-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00}\} + \Psi b^i + \theta \alpha^{-1} y^i, \quad (2.4)$$

Where

$$\theta = \frac{\varphi\varphi' - s(\varphi\varphi'' + \varphi'\varphi')}{2\varphi\{(\varphi - s\varphi') + (b^2 - s^2)\varphi''\}}$$

$$Q = \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi - s\varphi'}$$

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi''}{\{(\varphi - s\varphi') + (b^2 - s^2)\varphi''\}}$$

For a Kropina metric $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$, it is very easy to see that it is not a regular (α, β) -metric but the relation $\varphi(s) - s\varphi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\varphi''(s) > 0$ is still true for $|s| > 0$.

In [8], the authors characterized the (α, β) -metrics of Douglas type.

Lemma 2.2. [8]: Let $F = \alpha\varphi\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$ be a regular (α, β) -metric on an n-dimensional manifold $M(n \geq 3)$. Assume that β is not parallel with respect to α and $db \neq 0$ everywhere or $b = \text{constant}$ and F is not of Randers type. Then F is a Douglas metric if and only if the function $\varphi = \varphi(s)$ with $\varphi(0) = 1$ satisfies the following ODE's

$$[1 + (k_1 + k_2 s^2)s^2 + k_3 s^2]\varphi'' = (k_1 + k_2 s^2)(\varphi - s\varphi'), \quad (2.5)$$

and β satisfies

$$b_{i|j} = 2\sigma[(1 + k_1 b^2)a_{ij} + (k_2 b^2 + k_3)b_i b_j] \quad (2.6)$$

Where $b^2 = \|\beta\|_\alpha^2$ and $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ is a scalar function and k_1, k_2, k_3 are constants $(k_2, k_3) \neq (0, 0)$.

For a Kropina metric, we have the following,

Lemma 2.3.[9]: Let $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$ be Kropina metric on an n-dimensional manifold M . Then

(i) $(n \geq 3)$ Kropina metric F with $b^2 \neq 0$ is Douglas metric if and only if

$$s_{ik} = \frac{1}{b^2} (b_i s_k - b_j s_i). \tag{2.7}$$

(ii) ($n = 2$) Kropina metric F is a Douglas metric.

Definition 2.1. [2]: Let

$$D_{jkl}^i = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(G^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right) \tag{2.8}$$

Where G^i is the spray coefficients of F . The tensor $D = D_{jkl}^i \partial_i \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l$ is called the Douglas tensor. A Finsler metric is called Douglas metric if the Douglas tensor vanishes.

We know that the Douglas tensor is a projective invariant [12]. Note that the spray coefficients of a Riemannian metric are quadratic forms and one can see that the Douglas tensor vanishes from (2.8). This shows that Douglas tensor is a non-Riemannian quantity.

In the following, we use quantities with a bar to denote the corresponding quantities of the metric \bar{F} . Now, first we compute the Douglas tensor of a general (α, β) -metric.

Let

$$\hat{G}^i = G_\alpha^i + \alpha Q s_0^i + \Psi \{-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00}\} b^i, \tag{2.9}$$

then (2.4) becomes

$$G^i = \hat{G}^i + \theta \{-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00}\} \alpha^{-1} y^i.$$

Clearly, G^i and \hat{G}^i are projective equivalent according to (2.2), they have the same Douglas tensor.

Let

$$T^i = \alpha Q s_0^i + \bar{\Psi} \{-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00}\} b^i. \tag{2.10}$$

Then $\hat{G}^i = G_\alpha^i + T^i$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jkl}^i &= \hat{D}_{jkl}^i \\ &= \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(G_\alpha^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial G_\alpha^m}{\partial y^m} y^i + T^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial T^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(T^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial T^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

To compute (2.11) explicitly, we use the following identities

$$\alpha_{y^k} = \alpha^{-1} y_k, \quad s_{y^k} = \alpha^{-2} (b_k \alpha - s y_k),$$

where $y_i = a_{il} y^l$. Here after, α_{y^k} means $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y^k}$. Then

$$[\alpha Q s_0^m]_{y^m} = \alpha^{-1} y_m Q s_0^m + \alpha^{-2} Q' [b_m \alpha^2 - \beta y_m] s_0^m = Q' s_0,$$

and

$$[\Psi \{-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00}\} b^m]_{y^m} = \Psi' \alpha^{-1} (b^2 - s^2) [r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0] + 2\Psi [r_0 - Q'(b^2 - s^2) s_0 - Q s s_0]$$

where $r_i = b^i r_{ij}$ and $r_0 = r_i y^i$. Thus from (2.10), we have

$$T_{y^m}^m = Q' s_0 + \Psi' \alpha^{-1} (b^2 - s^2) [r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0] + 2\Psi [r_0 - Q'(b^2 - s^2) s_0 - Q s s_0]. \tag{2.12}$$

Let F and \bar{F} be two (α, β) -metrics, we assume that they have the same Douglas tensor, i.e.

$$D_{jkl}^i = \bar{D}_{jkl}^i.$$

From (2.8) and (2.11), we have

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(T^i - \bar{T}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} (T_{y^m}^m - \bar{T}_{y^m}^m) y^i \right) = 0$$

Then there exists a class of scalar function $H_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i(x)$, such that

$$H_{00}^i = T^i - \bar{T}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} (T_{y^m}^m - \bar{T}_{y^m}^m) y^i, \tag{2.13}$$

where $H_{00}^i = H_{jk}^i y^j y^k$, T^i and $T_{y^m}^m$ are given by (2.10) and (2.12) respectively

III. PROJECTIVE RELATION OF CLASSES OF (α, β) -METRICS

In this section, we find the projective relation between special metric (α, β) -metric $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\beta}$ on a same underlying manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$.

For (α, β) -metric $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$, one can prove by (2.3) that F is a regular Finsler metric if and only if 1-form β satisfies the condition $\|\beta_x\|_\alpha < 1$ for any $x \in M$.

The geodesic coefficients are given by (2.4) with

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \frac{\{1 + 3s^2 - 4s^3\}}{2\{1 + s - s^2\}\{1 - 2b^2 + 3s^2\}}, \\ Q &= \frac{1 - 2s}{1 + s^2}, \\ \Psi &= -\frac{1}{1 - 2b^2 + 3s^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For Kropina metric $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\beta}$, the geodesic coefficient are given by (2.4) with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q} &= -\frac{1}{2s} \\ \bar{\theta} &= -\frac{s}{b^2} \\ \bar{\Psi} &= \frac{1}{2b^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In this paper we assume that $\lambda = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Since the Douglas tensor is a projective invariant,

we have,

Theorem 3.2. Let $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ be an (α, β) - metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\beta}$ be a Kropina metric on an n -dimensional manifold $M(n \geq 3)$ where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non zero 1-forms. Then F and \bar{F} have the same Douglas tensors if and only if they are all Douglas metrics.

Proof: First, we prove the sufficient condition.

Let F and \bar{F} be Douglas metrics and corresponding Douglas tensors be D_{jkl}^i and \bar{D}_{jkl}^i . Then by the definition of Douglas metric, we have $D_{jkl}^i = 0$ and $\bar{D}_{jkl}^i = 0$, that is both F and \bar{F} have the same Douglas tensor, then (2.13) holds.

Plugging (3.1) and (3.2) into (2.13), we have

$$H_{00}^i = \frac{A^i \alpha^9 + B^i \alpha^8 + C^i \alpha^7 + D^i \alpha^6 + E^i \alpha^5 + F^i \alpha^4 + G^i \alpha^3 + H^i \alpha^2 + I^i}{J \alpha^8 + K \alpha^6 + L \alpha^4 + M \alpha^2 + N} + \frac{\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i}{2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta}} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^i &= (1 - 2b^2)\{s_0^i + 2s_0 b^i - 2b^2 s_0^i\}, \\ B^i &= (1 - 2b^2)\{4b^2 \beta s_0^i - 4\beta s_0 b^i - r_{00} b^i + 2\lambda y^i (r_0 + s_0) - 2\beta s_0^i\}, \\ C^i &= \beta[\beta\{(4b^2(b^2 - 4) + 7)s_0^i + 4(2 - b^2 s_0 b^i)\} + 4(1 + b^2)\lambda s_0 y^i], \\ D^i &= \beta[-2\beta^3\{(4b^2(b^2 - 4) + 7)s_0^i + (8 - 4b^2)s_0 b^i\} + (1 + b^2)\lambda s_0 b^i - \beta r_{00} b^i(4b^2 - 5) - 2\lambda y^i\{3\beta^2 r_{00} + \beta((4b^2 - 5)r_0 + (12b^2 - 3)s_0)\}], \\ E^i &= \beta^3[3\beta\{5s_0^i + 2s_0 b^i - 4b^2 s_0^i\} + (4 - 4b^2)s_0 \lambda y^i], \\ F^i &= \beta^3[6\beta^2\{4b^2 s_0^i - 12s_0 b^i - 5s_0^i\} - (7 - 2b^2)\beta r_{00} b^i + \{6(1 - 2b^2)r_{00} + \beta((14 - 4b^2)r_0 + (6 - 12b^2)s_0)\}\lambda y^i], \\ G^i &= 9\beta^6 s_0^i, \\ H^i &= -3\beta^5[\beta\{6\beta s_0^i + b^i r_{00}\} + 6\lambda y^i\{(b^2 - 2)r_{00} - \beta(r_0 + s_0)\}], \\ I^i &= 6\beta^7 r_{00} \lambda y^i \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} J &= (1 - 2b^2)^2, \\ K &= 4\beta^2(1 - 2b^2)(2 - b^2), \\ L &= 2\beta^4(11 + 2b^4 - 14b^2), \\ M &= -12\beta^6(b^2 - 2), \\ N &= 9\beta^8 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}^i &= \bar{b}^2 \bar{s}_0^i - \bar{b}^i \bar{s}_0, \\ \bar{B}^i &= \bar{\beta} [2\lambda y^i (\bar{r}_0 + \bar{s}_0) - \bar{b}^i \bar{r}_{00}]. \end{aligned}$$

Further, (3.3) is equivalent to

$$(A^i \alpha^9 + B^i \alpha^8 + C^i \alpha^7 + D^i \alpha^6 + E^i \alpha^5 + F^i \alpha^4 + G^i \alpha^3 + H^i \alpha^2 + I^i)(2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta}) + (\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i) \times (J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N) = H_{00}^i (2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta})(J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N) \quad (3.4)$$

Replacing (y^i) by $(-y^i)$ in (3.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (-A^i \alpha^9 + B^i \alpha^8 - C^i \alpha^7 + D^i \alpha^6 - E^i \alpha^5 + F^i \alpha^4 - G^i \alpha^3 + H^i \alpha^2 + I^i)(-2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta}) - (\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i) \\ \times (J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N) = -H_{00}^i (J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N)(2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Adding (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$(A^i \alpha^9 + C^i \alpha^7 + E^i \alpha^5 + G^i \alpha^3)(2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta}) = 0$$

Above equation reduces to

$$A^i \alpha^9 + C^i \alpha^7 + E^i \alpha^5 + G^i \alpha^3 = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, we conclude that (3.3) is equivalent to

$$H_{00}^i = \frac{B^i \alpha^8 + D^i \alpha^6 + F^i \alpha^4 + H^i \alpha^2 + I^i}{J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N} + \frac{\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i}{2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta}} \quad (3.7)$$

(3.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} B^i \alpha^8 + D^i \alpha^6 + F^i \alpha^4 + H^i \alpha^2 + I^i)(2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta}) + (\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i) \times \\ (J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N) = H_{00}^i (2\bar{b}^2 \bar{\beta})(J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In the above equation (3.8), we can see that $\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 (J\alpha^8 + K\alpha^6 + L\alpha^4 + M\alpha^2 + N)$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Since $\beta = \mu\bar{\beta}$, then $\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 J\alpha^8$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Because $\bar{\beta}$ is prime with respect to α and $\bar{\alpha}$. Therefore $\bar{A}^i = \bar{b}^2 \bar{s}_0^i - \bar{b}^i \bar{s}_0$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Hence there is a scalar function $\Psi^i(x)$ such that

$$\bar{b}^2 \bar{s}_0^i - \bar{b}^i \bar{s}_0 = \bar{\beta} \Psi^i \quad (3.9)$$

Transvecting (3.9) by $\bar{y}_i = \bar{a}_{ij} y^j$, we get $\Psi^i(x) = -\bar{s}^i$. Thus we have

$$\bar{s}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}^2} (\bar{b}_i \bar{s}_j - \bar{b}_j \bar{s}_i) \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, by lemma 2.3, $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ is a Douglas metrics. i.e. Both $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$,

and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ are Douglas metrics.

If $n = 2$, $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\beta}$ is a Douglas metric by lemma 2.3. Thus F and \bar{F} have the same Douglas tensors means that they are Douglas metrics. Thus F and \bar{F} have the same Douglas tensors means that they are Douglas metrics. Thus $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ be an special (α, β) –metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\beta}$ be a Kropina metric on an n -dimensional manifold $M(n \geq 2)$, where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are Riemannian metric, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non zero collinear 1-forms. Then F and \bar{F} have same Douglas tensors if and only if they are Douglas metrics. This completes the proof of theorem (3.2).

IV. PROOF. OF THEOREM 1.1.

First, we prove the necessary condition:

Since Douglas tensor is an invariant under projective changes between two Finsler metrics, If F is projectively related to \bar{F} , then they have the same Douglas tensor. According to theorem (3.2), we obtain that both F and \bar{F} are Douglas metrics.

By [3], It is well known that Kropina metric $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\beta}$ with $b^2 \neq 0$ is a Douglas metric if and only if $s_{ik} = \frac{1}{b^2}(b_i s_k - b_k s_i)$ and also it has been proved that by [7], we know that (α, β) –metric, $F = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ is a Douglas metric if and only if

$$b_{i|j} = 2\tau\{(1 - 2b^2)a_{ij} + 3b_i b_j\} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a scalar function on M . In this case, β is closed.

Plugging (4.1) and (3.1) into (2.4), we have

$$G^i = G_\alpha^i + \left(\frac{\alpha^3 + 3\alpha\beta^2 - 4\beta^3}{\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta - \beta^2}\right)\tau y^i - 2\tau\alpha^2 b^i \tag{4.2}$$

Again plugging (3.10) and (3.2) into (2.4), we have

$$\bar{G}^i = \bar{G}_\alpha^i + \frac{1}{2b^2}\{-\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{s}^i + (2\bar{s}_0 y^i - \bar{r}_{00} \bar{b}^i) + 2\frac{\bar{r}_{00} \bar{\beta} y^i}{\bar{\alpha}^2}\} \tag{4.3}$$

Since F is Projectively equivalent to \bar{F} , then their exist a scalar function $P = P(x, y)$ on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$G^i = \bar{G}^i + P y^i \tag{4.4}$$

By (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\left[P - \left(\frac{\alpha^3 + 3\alpha\beta^2 - 4\beta^3}{\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta - \beta^2}\right)\tau - \frac{1}{b^2}\left(\bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{r}_{00} \bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2}\right) \right] y^i = G_\alpha^i - \bar{G}_\alpha^i - 2\alpha^2 \tau b^i - \frac{1}{2b^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00} \bar{b}^i) \tag{4.5}$$

Note that RHS of above equation is in quadratic form.

Then there must be a one form $\theta = \theta_i y^i$ on M , such that

$$\left[P - \left(\frac{\alpha^3 + 3\alpha\beta^2 - 4\beta^3}{\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta - \beta^2}\right)\tau - \frac{1}{b^2}\left(\bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{r}_{00} \bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2}\right) \right] = \theta$$

Thus (4.5) becomes

$$G_\alpha^i - 2\alpha^2 \tau b^i = \bar{G}_\alpha^i + \frac{1}{2b^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00} \bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i \tag{4.6}$$

This completes the proof of necessity.

Conversely from (4.2), (4.3) and (1.5) we have

$$G^i = \bar{G}^i + \left[\theta + \left(\frac{\alpha^3 + 3\alpha\beta^2 - 4\beta^3}{\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta - \beta^2}\right)\tau + \frac{1}{b^2}\left(\bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{r}_{00} \bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2}\right) \right] y^i \tag{4.7}$$

Thus F is projectively equivalent to \bar{F} . From the above theorem, immediately we get the following corollary

Corollary 4.1. [18]: Let $L = \alpha + \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$ be a special (α, β) -metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric be two (α, β) -metrics on a n -dimensional manifold M with dimension $n \geq 3$, where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero collinear 1-forms. Then F is projectively related to \bar{F} if and only if they are Douglas metrics and the spray coefficients of α and $\bar{\alpha}$ have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} G^i - 2\alpha^2 \tau b^i &= \bar{G}_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2} (\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00} \bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i, \\ s_{ij} &= 0 \\ \bar{s}_{ij} &= \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2} (\bar{b}_i \bar{s}_j - \bar{b}_j \bar{s}_i) \\ b_{ij} &= 2\tau \{(1 - 2b^2) a_{ij} + 3b_i b_j\} \end{aligned}$$

Where b_{ij} denotes the coefficients of the covariant derivative of β with respect to α .

REFERENCES

1. S. Bacso and M. Matsumot, *Projective change between Finsler space with (α, β) - metric*, Tensor N.S. 55 (1994), 252-257.
2. N. Cui and Yi-Bing, *Projective change between two classes of (α, β) -metrics*, Diff.Geom. and its Applications 27 (2009), 566-573.
3. Feng Mu and Xinyue Cheng, *On the Projective Equivalence between (α, β) -metrics and Kropina metric*, Diff. Geom-Dynamical systems, Vol.14, (2012), 106-116.
4. Z. M. Haasiguchi and Y. Ichijyo, *Randers space with rectilinear geodesics*, Rep. Fac.Sci.Kagoshima.Uni, (Math. Phys.Chen), 13, (1980) 33-40.
5. R. S. Ingarden, *Geometry of thermodynamics*, Diff. Geom. Methods in Theor. Phys, XV Intern. Conf.Clausthal 1986, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987.
6. Jiang Jingnang and Cheng Xinyue, *Projective change between two Important classes of (α, β) -metrics*, Advances in Mathematics, Vol.06, (2012).
7. V. K. Kropina, *On the Projective Finsler space with certain special form*, Nauch. Doklady vyss. Skoly, Fiz-mat. Nauki, 1952(2)(1960), 38-42 (Russian).14
8. B. Li, Y. Shen and Z. Shen, *On a Class of Douglas metrics*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, 46(3) (2009), 355-365.
9. M. Matsumto, *Finsler Space with (α, β) -metric of douglas type*, Tensor N.S. 60 (1998).
10. M. Matsumto and S. i. Hojo, *A Conclusive theorem on C-reducible Finsler spaces*, Tensors, N.S, 32 (1978), 225-230.
11. S. K. Narasimhamurthy, *Projective change between Matsumoto metric and Randers metric*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc, No.03, 393-402 (2014).
12. H. S. park and Il-Yong Lee, *Randers change of Finsler space with (α, β) -metric of Douglas Type*, J.Korean Math. Soc.38 (3) (2001), 503-521.
13. Pradeep Kumar, Madhu T S and Ramesha M, *Projective equivalence between two Families of Finsler metrics*, Gulf Journal of Mathematics, 4(1)(2016), 65-74.
14. Pradeep Kumar, Ramesha M and Madhu T S, *On two important classes of (α, β) -metrics being projectively related*, International Journal of Current Research, 10(6)(2018), 70528-70536.
15. C. Shibata, *On a Finsler space with (α, β) -metric*, J. Hokkaido Uni. of Education, IIA 35 (1984), 1-6.
16. P. Stavrinou, F. Diakogiannis, *Finslerian structure of anisotropic gravitational field*, Gravit. Cosmol., 10 (4) (2004), 1-11.
17. Z. Shen, *On a Landsberg (α, β) -metric*, (2006).
18. A. Tayebi, Sadeghi and E. Peghan, *Two Families of Finsler metrics Projectively related to a Kropina metric*, arxiv:1302.4435v1[math.Dg], (2013).
19. A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan and H. Sadeghi, *On two subclasses of (α, β) -metrics being projectively related*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 62 (2012), 292-300.
20. M.Zohrehv and M.M.Rezaii, *On Projective related two special classes of (α, β) -metrics*, Differential geometry and its applications, 29 (2011), 660-669..

RESEARCHERID



THOMSON REUTERS

**[Kumar, 6(2): February 2019]
DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.2579402**

**ISSN 2348 – 8034
Impact Factor- 5.070**